SYLLABUS

1. Information about the program

1.1 Higher education	Babeş-Bolyai University
institution	
1.2 Faculty	Faculty of Political, Administrative and Communication Sciences
1.3 Departament	Public Administration and Management
1.4 Field of study	Administrative Sciences
1.5 Study cycle	Graduate
1.6 The program of study /	Science, Technology and Innovation for Public Governance
specialization	

2. Discipline data

2.1 Course title		Governa	Governance for a Better Quality of Life (QoL)				
2.2 The discipline holder Raed Arafat							
2.3 Holder of seminar activities							
2.4 Year of	2	2.5 Semester	2	2.6. Type of	Practical	2.7 Discipline	Mandatory
study				evaluation	Project	regime	

3. Total estimated time (hours of teaching per semester)

3.1 Number of hours per week	2	Of which: 3.2 course	2	3.3 workshop /	0
				laboratory	
3.4 Number of hours per week	28	Of which: 3.5 course	28	3.6 workshop /	0
				laboratory	
Allocation of time: 42					hours
Study after handbook, course materials, bibliography and notes					28
Additional documentation in the library, specialized electronic platforms and on field					14
Training seminars / labs, homework, essays, portfolios and essays					42
Tutoring				12	
Examinations				3	
Other activities:					
0.7.7.11		0.1			

3.7 Total hours of individual study	21
3.8 Total hours per semester	28
3.9 Number of credits	5

4. Prerequisites (where applicable)

4.1 curriculum	Not applicable
4.2 competence	Not applicable

5. Conditions (where applicable)

5.1 of the course	Not applicable
5.2 to conduct seminar /	Not applicable
laboratory	

6. Specific skills acquired

Professional skills	C1. Use of the basic concepts and principles concerning the organization and functioning of the public sector; C2. Development of civic competence and civic activism; C3. Identification and use of strategies, methods, and techniques of public and organizational communication; C4. Drafting and implementation of strategies and policies specific to the field; C6. Identifying, analyzing, and solving public sector issues;
Transversal competences	CT1. Accomplishment of professional tasks in time and in a rigorous, effective, and responsible manner, in compliance with the principles of professional ethics; CT2. Making use of group interaction techniques, acquiring and playing specific roles within work teams through the development of interpersonal communication skills; CT3. Self-evaluation of professional training needs, as well as identification of resources and arrangements for training and, respectively, individual and professional development, for the purpose of insertion into and adaptation to the requirements of the labor market;

7. Course objectives (based on accumulated skills grid)

7.1 General objective of the discipline	Familiarizes students with different types of measurement systems of quality of life for better governance.
7.2 Specific objectives	By the end of the semester, students should be able to:
	1. Explain the major concepts in quality of life measurement:
	Types of indicators
	Levels of measurement: community-based
	Sources of data
	Study designs and threats to validity
	2. Perform skills required in conducting quality of life assessments:
	Design of a conceptual framework
	Develop objectives and indicators
	Conduct of a quality of life study
	3. Write a Quality of Life Assessment Report

8.1 Course	Teaching methods	Observations
1. Overview of main concepts. Course	Presentation.	The introductory course
requirements and expectations. Evaluation methods	Discussions	consists in communicating the fundamentals of QoL, course requirments, content, schedule of the course, methods of evaluation and getting feeback from students about these topics. Topics to cover: Objectives of course, Assignments, readings, grading Why and when to evaluate QoL
2. Evaluation types, methods and models for QoL	Presentation.	Discussions about the
2. Evaluation types, methods and models for QoL	Discussion, debate	most used assessment types, methods and models for QoL
3. Conceptual frameworks for QoL Measurements:	Presentation.	Topics to cover:
OECD better life index , Gallup Healthways și	Discussion, debate.	Importance of a
Comisia Europeană (Eurofound Quality of Life		conceptual framework Examples of conceptual
Survey).		frameworks of indicators
4. Needs Assessment and QoL	Presentation. Discussion, debate.	Topics to cover: Needs assessment and QoL Analytic process Monitoring
5. Development of Indicators and Participatory	Presentation.	Topics to cover
Evaluation Models for QoL	Discussion, debate.	Characteristics of a good indicator
		How to select indicators
		Overview of participatory
		models
6. Design of a QoL study	Presentation.	Examples of their use Topics to cover
	Discussion, debate	Design models
7. Data collection methods	Presentation.	Topics to cover:
	Discussion, debate	Qualitative and
		quantitative research methods
8. Using Qualitative Methods for Monitoring and	Presentation.	Topics to cover:
Evaluation QoL	Discussion, debate	The use of interview and
		observation
9. Monitoring QoL	Presentation.	Topics to cover
	Discussion, debate.	Tools to monitor QoL

10. OECD - Better life index	Presentation.	Topics to cover:
	Discussion, debate.	Study the design of indicators
11. Gallup Healthways	Presentation. Discussion, debate	Topics to cover: Gallup Healthways indicator system
12. Eurofound Quality of Life Survey	Presentation. Discussion, debate	Eurofound Quality of Life indicator system
13. Secondary data analysis	Presentation. Discussion, debate	Topics to cover: secondary data analysis for QoL
14. Course review	Presentation. Discussion, debate	Review of all topics under discussin along the semester

Bibliography

On Moodle:

- 1. Development of the World Health Organization WHOQOL-BREF Quality of Life Assessment
- 2. Julio Cesar Ferro de Guimarães, Eliana Andréa Severo, Luiz Antonio Felix Júnior, Wênyka Preston Leite Batista da Costa, Fernanda Tasso Salmoria, Governance and quality of life in smart cities: towards sustainable development goals, Journal of Cleaner Production

Other resources:

- 1.WHOQOL Group (1997). Measuring Quality of Life. Programme on Mental health. http://www.who.int/mental_health/media/68.pdf. Accessed 17 June 2014.
- 2. Pacione, M. (2003). Urban environmental quality and human wellbeing a social geographical perspective. Landscape and Urban Planning Journal. doi: 10.1016/S0169-2046(02)00234-7.
- 3. Pacione, M. (2009). Urban Geography A global perspective: Third Edition. Abingdon, Oxon, United Kingdom: Routledge.
- 4. Hagerty, M. R., Cummins, R. A., Ferriss, A. L., Land, K., Michalos, A. C., Peterson, M., Sharpe, A., Sirgy, J., Vogel, J., et al. (2001). Quality of life indexes for national policy: Review and agenda for research. In Social Indicators Research (pp. 1-96). Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- 5. Steg, L., Gifford, R. (2004). Sustainable transportation and quality of life. Journal of Transport Geography. doi: 10.1016/j.jtrangeo.2004.11.003.
- 6. Wingo, L., Evans, A. (1977). Public economics and the quality of life. Abingdon, Oxon, United Kingdom: Earthscan.
- 7. Figueroa, A. (2010). Review of Carol Graham and Eduardo Lora 'Paradox and Perception: Measuring Quality of Life in Latin America'. Journal of Latin American Studies, doi:10.1017/S0022216X10001719.
- 8. Rapkin, B. D., Schwartz, C. E. (2004). Toward a theoretical model of quality-of-life appraisal: Implications of findings from studies of response shift. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes. http://www.hqlo.com/content/2/1/14. Accessed 19 June 2014
- 9. Taillefer, M. C., Dupuis, G., Roberge, M. A., LeMay, S. (2003). Health-Related Quality of Life Models: Systematic Review of the Literature. Social Indicators Research. http://link.springer.com/article/10.1023%2FA%3A1024740307643. Accessed 15 July 2014
- 10. Berger-Schmitt, R. (2002). Considering social cohesion in quality of life assessments: Concept and measurement. Assessing Quality of Life and living Consitions to Guide National Policy Social Indicators Research. http://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007%2F0-306-47513-8_18. Accessed 20 July 2014
- 11. Sutherland, H. J., Till, J. E. (1994). Opinion polling and decision making: A critical appraisal of quality of life assessment. Quality of Life Research. http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2FBF00435258. Accessed 20 July 2014
- **9.** Corroborating course content with the expectations of epistemic community representatives, professional associations and employers representative for the program

The Evaluation course is constructed as an initial course on why and how to use Evaluation in public organizations. Evaluation is somewhat a new field of practice in Romanian Public Administration. New

knowledge and instruments can be of grat use for practitioners but also researchers interested in this field.

10. Evaluation

Activity type	10.1 Evaluation Criteria	10.2 Evaluation Methods	10.3 Proportion of		
10.4 Course	Final Project	Written project/Practical project	final grade 100%		
10.5 Seminar/laboratory	Class participation	Wekly assignments			
10.6 Minimum performance standard					
Minimum grade for passing the course is 5					